

NEWS

‘Citizens Deserve Better’: Justice Clarence Thomas Dissents In PA Election Case

By Jon Brown

Feb 22, 2021 DailyWire.com



Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images

Justice Clarence Thomas issued a vigorous dissent Monday in response to the Supreme Court’s decision not to hear a challenge against the Pennsylvania state court’s ruling that permitted ballots to be counted even if they were received three days after Election Day.

“One wonders what the Court waits for,” Thomas wrote. “We failed to settle this dispute before the election, and thus provide clear rules. Now we again fail

hidden beneath a shroud of doubt is baffling. By doing nothing, we invite further confusion and erosion of voter confidence. Our fellow citizens deserve better and expect more of us. I respectfully dissent.”



Thomas acknowledged that the case before the Court would not have changed the outcome of the 2020 presidential election, but he also warned that the unwillingness of the Supreme Court to deal with the issues presented in the case now could hold potential problems in the future.

“We are fortunate that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court’s decision to change the receipt deadline for mail-in ballots does not appear to have changed the outcome in any federal election. This Court ordered the county boards to segregate ballots received later than the deadline set by the legislature. Order in *Republican Party of Pa. v. Boockvar*, No. 20A84. And none of the parties contend that those ballots made an outcome-determinative difference in any relevant federal election.”

“But we may not be so lucky in the future. Indeed, a separate decision by the

said, going on to mention a state Senate race.

READER'S PASS



5 'Racist' Biden Quotes The Media Swept Under the Rug

By Gabe Kaminsky



Ten Disney Characters Who Should Be Canceled

By Ian Haworth

“That is not a prescription for confidence,” Thomas continued. “Changing the rules in the middle of the game is bad enough. Such rule changes by officials who may lack authority to do so is even worse. When those changes alter election results, they can severely damage the electoral system on which our self-governance so heavily depends. If state officials have the authority they have claimed, we need to make it clear. If not, we need to put an end to this practice now before the consequences become catastrophic.”

“That decision to rewrite the rules seems to have affected too few ballots to change the outcome of any federal election. But that may not be the case in the future,” Thomas warned. “These cases provide us with an ideal opportunity to address just what authority nonlegislative officials have to set election rules, and to do so well before the next election cycle. The refusal to do so is inexplicable.”

Justices Samuel Alito and Neil Gorsuch also dissented in the case.

“There is ‘reasonable expectation’ that the parties will face the same question in the future,” Alito wrote in his dissent, echoing Thomas’ warning about potential future problems. “And that the question will evade future pre-election review, just as it did in these cases.”

“These cases call out for review, and I respectfully dissent from the Court’s decision to deny certiorari.”


Related: [Black GOP Lawmakers Call On Museum To ‘Honor’ Justice Clarence Thomas](#)

The Daily Wire is one of America’s fastest-growing conservative media companies and counter-cultural outlets for news, opinion, and entertainment. Get inside access to The Daily Wire by becoming a [member](#).


» [2020 Election](#), [Clarence Thomas](#), [Pennsylvania](#), [Samuel Alito](#)

Sponsored

Powered by




These 3 Vegetables Will Kill



These New German Hearing Aids Are Crushing the Market



How Dogs Cry For Help: 3 Warning Signs Your Dogs Is Crying For Help



Doctor Begg Seniors: Stop Eating Foods That Lower Bone Density

Advertisement by MGID

Popular in the Community

Cory Booker Asks Attorney General...



Andy Peppers

"Mr. Garland, men represent a...



Top Comment

👍 22

Democrat Rep. Ro Khanna: 'We Don't...



The Irish Privat.

My lowest paid employee makes \$15.



Top Comment

👍 3

AdChoices

Sponsored



Create a free account to join the conversation!

[Start Commenting](#)

Sort by **Best** ▾**Joe&XiSittinInATree**

↑ 4 New Comments



15 hours ago

"We are fortunate that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision to change the receipt deadline for mail-in ballots does not appear to have changed the outcome in any federal election."

Um, every single mail in ballot that wasn't specifically requested, was an invalid ballot. Pennsylvania, as well as several other states, unconstitutionally changed election law to allow for the mass mailing out of ballots. Every single mail in ballot in those states should be invalid, which would certainly have a significant effect on the outcome. *(Edited)*

Reply 👍 78 💬

Arel ▸ Joe&XiSittinInATree

3 hours ago

Exactly right, and let's not forget many of those mail in ballots, in some of those states, had no signature verification, voter registration logs haven't been purged in years and many of those ballots were sent to past residents that no longer live there. What we all saw happen before, on and beyond November 3rd was rampant with blatant fraud.

Reply 👍 20 💬

↩ Show 1 more reply

redinflorida9338 ▸ Joe&XiSittinInATree

16 minutes ago

Mail in ballots should be reserved for those who are forced to live outside of their voting district, like active duty military families, or those who are out-of-district because they are living elsewhere caring for a sick relative. We shouldn't have all these "acceptable" reasons for someone to request a ballot by mail. If you cannot be bothered to register to vote before election day, get an ID (a bare minimum requirement for adult activities in this country), and spend some time being bored and uncomfortable while waiting to vote on election day, then I do not want you voting. I'd even be ok with getting rid of mail-in ballots PERIOD, and I say this as a military spouse. If this happened, you better believe I would figure out how to either get back to my district to vote or I'd change my residency.

Reply 👍 2 💬

Hallen

14 hours ago

"the Supreme Court to deal with the issues presented in the case now could hold potential problems in the future"

This is exactly correct. Thomas is a wise man and a clear minded man. He has my deepest respect.

Elections are perhaps the most important issue in front of us today. Without election integrity, our nation will fail.

Nothing else will matter. If we allow unelected officials to randomly change election law on a whim just prior to elections, then that is the exact recipe for banana republic.

...**See more**

Reply  62 

↩ Show 1 previous reply
rufioj254285 > Hallen

12 hours ago

But don't worry, SCOTUS is on the case with Trump's taxes, another road to nowhere.

Reply  19 

Doc66 > Hallen

11 hours ago

And so we take yet another step toward disaster.

Reply  11 

GreyForce11

15 hours ago

So will elections even matter anymore? Or does this put the matter at the front line where people will have to defend the elections themselves? Federal govt abdicates responsibilities at almost every turn.

Reply  38 

↩ Show 2 previous replies

TXCupcake > GreyForce11

15 hours ago

We have to make sure elections are conducted with transparency and fairness for all involved at the local level. Even if it takes election day to be a national holiday so we are all off work, we need to have boots on the ground at every precinct, follow the chain of custody of the ballots and observe the counting and tabulation.

Reply  9 

rufioj254285 > GreyForce11

12 hours ago

States need to be drafting secession legislation immediately. They can't wait until things go beyond the point of no return.

Reply  17  1

Hic_sunt_dracones

13 hours ago

I mean, I think it's literally in the Constitution that the state legislatures decide the voting process. If memory serves, the Secretary of State and the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania changed the rules without the legislature. Aren't messy issues like this precisely why the Supreme Court

Reply  36  1

 Show 1 previous reply

rufioj254285 > Hic_sunt_dracones

12 hours ago

Your memory is correct yet the so-called expert judges apparently don't care.

The fact they again refused to even view the evidence just shows that they know there's some nonsense going on and are afraid to reveal it. The Machine is at work to keep its dirty dealings under the carpet.

Reply  24 

krs5518348

15 hours ago

Thomas has long been the only really good Justice on the SCOTUS. He is the only one who consistently has the nerve to stand up for what is right regardless of the consequences. No wonder the left hates him. I'm sure Kavanaugh and ACB will now get invited to those ever important cocktail parties. The cocktail parties are apparently more important than preserving our country.

Reply  38 

Frankenstrat Tapps > krs5518348

13 hours ago

Exactly right. How can our side up our cocktail party game? It might be our only shot at saving our country.

Reply  4 

alketamark9764 > krs5518348

7 hours ago

Kavanaugh dissented also the article says along with Alito and Thomas. ACB I assume did not.

Reply  3 

 Show 2 more replies

lee.baker2590

8 hours ago

Misconduct and fraud doesn't have to change the results of an election to "erode confidence" in the election system. Considering how close the election was and how many accounts of irregularities and fraud, I'm not convinced that it wouldn't change the results.

Reply  8 

tjegy1810

3 hours ago

As a citizen of PA I am appalled that our elections laws were unconstitutionally changed prior to the 2020 election. The PA state constitution states that in order to change election laws the

authority to vote any those proposed changes. No where does it give the AG the authority to make those changes. The PA Supreme Court has failed the citizens of our state and allowed our election to be hijacked. We need the US Supreme Court to hear the case and stand up for the people of PA!!

Reply  10 

teamtmack0726

2 hours ago

What are we paying them for. Their job is to uphold the law. Nothing else.
If they are afraid of this causing a civil war, that's not their concern. By not taking it, could cause one also. They now sound like teachers. Don't want to earn their money either.
Just curious if scotus is represented by a union.

Reply  7 

philipperezjr3960

13 hours ago

I remember when Judge Thomas was before the Senate. They attacked him because they knew he was not political or biased. He is strictly by the Constitution. That is why I have the up most respect for him. May God bless him. He is a true Patriot.

Reply  26 

kosmo

10 hours ago

It's obvious why America is going down the tubes. Nine people who are supposed to be impartial and uphold the Constitution can't even agree on protecting the integrity of our elections. We on the right better hurry up and create a parallel economy and all its infrastructure or we'll be at the mercy of the merciless. Evil knows no bounds.

Reply  10 

joisster2332

13 hours ago

This election mess could be avoided Biometrics every vote will have a Finger print if is absent or remote or in person will have a fingerprint, biometrics do not lie or cheat two finger print are scanned one is voided and the voter that marked two votes is process for fraud. simple and sweet. No more dead people no more copied ballots one finger print kills all the controversy again simple and sweet.

Reply  8 

ipatwrye8366 > joisster2332

13 hours ago

I totally get where you are coming from but with that you run into the issue of your vote no longer staying anonymous.

Reply  7 

14 hours ago

A two-tier election system funded by private donations favoring democratic districts in battleground states is how the election was stolen.

Reply  10 

CHITownRepublican

14 hours ago

I was for ACB when she was nominated, but she's been an upset thus far. Hopefully she improves, but I'm not holding my breath

Reply  7 

CouldntKeepIt > CHITownRepublican

14 hours ago

She won't. If she doesn't do as she's told they'll go after her children. The left has already threatened them. The left has NO issue killing children to get their way.

Reply  4 

 Show 3 more replies

klngtj1381

3 hours ago

I agree with Thomas the supreme court should address this to stop voter fraud in the future. Even though they state a local government can change the rules before an election to go in the direction they want. That is real corruption.

Reply  5 

cacarr22574

12 hours ago

Justice Thomas is correct, unfortunately that doesn't change the fact, again there's no Redress of Grievances. It is up to the states legislators to change election laws, not election officials and state courts. We have an illegitimate President and vice president, sure we can wait 4 years, then what happens when they fix the next election or the one after that? Where's it stop? The SCOTUS has become the US Supreme Court of Corruption like the 2 other branches of our Republic. You really want to live in the United States of China! Land of the Free and Home of the Brave? *(Edited)*

Reply  7 

 Show 1 more reply

CouldntKeepIt

14 hours ago

The SCOTUS has just approved and legalized voter fraud. We no longer have free and fair elections, which means we are not a representative republic. Wondering why so many things that are clearly designed to devastate the middle class are being rammed through with executive orders? Because we are now a fascist dictatorship. We don't need the SCOTUS any more, because they have no connection to the constitution. We don't need Congress. they're supposed

This ends badly for EVERYONE. No one will be more shocked to be rounded up into the gulags than the rank-and-file leftists who think they're part of the "elite."

Reply  21  1

00gabooga

14 hours ago

Voter fraud and illegal healthcare legislation is now robert's legacy. The greatest erosion of the Constitution happened under his watch. Look for him to blow it on the 2nd Amendment to complete the hat trick.

Reply  8 

maps10018096

11 hours ago

Waiting until there are clearly enough votes impacted by a wrong decision in setting election rules is like watching a ticking time bomb and doing nothing. That ticking bomb has 2 years, 4 years, maybe more on it. Why wait till it goes off. What a mess that is going to make.

Reply  6 

Twain sounding

14 hours ago

The court demanded a segregation of questioned ballots. You remember when Hilary was told to preserve her computer files and turn them over to the FBI.

How compliant was she?

No one can prove anything at this point, but a change of over 600,000 votes overnight is more than suspicious.

I like the idea of video taping all the ballots being counted to preserve the records including signature matching.

Reply  10 

jeffwill545

11 hours ago

Where are the dissenting opinions from Kavanaugh, ACB, and Justice Roberts? Everyone knows we had a fake election. Biden will always have an asterisk before his name in the record books.

Reply  12 

BuffaloBiLLLLs > jeffwill545

11 hours ago

They're NOT constitutionalists. They are deep state and we've been duped.

Reply  5 

ctyler > jeffwill545

11 hours ago

Was just wondering the same thing...

Reply  4 

12 hours ago

Republicans stood up for Kavanaugh.

No Standing.

Republicans stood up for ACB.

Moot.

Good to know they'll both show up for the consequential decisions.

Reply  10 

 Show 1 more reply

A.C. Mason

13 hours ago

Just have to say that I'm disappointed with Amy Coney Barrett. Totally agree with Thomas that it wouldn't over turn the election, but why would you not even hear the case? It just leaves half the country believing even more that they're hiding something.

Reply  10 

philipperezjr3960 > A.C. Mason

13 hours ago

They are hiding something and we know damn well what it is.

Reply  7 

Impeach MeToo!

13 hours ago

Meaning our originalists Kavanaugh and ACB didn't think the constitutionality of a State Supreme Court acting extra-judicially in changing state election laws was worthy of SCOTUS review?

Not getting what one would expect from those Federalist judges appointed to the highest court are we?

Reply  7  1

RWill > Impeach MeToo!

13 hours ago

Republicans held their hat on fighting the supreme court battle. They won, getting the advantage. Meanwhile, the democrats moved on from that battle onto more revolutionary battles that the republicans are way too late getting to grips with. If anything, the supreme court battle was the one the democrats wanted republicans focused on, while they destroyed the schools and everything else. They knew they could just pack the court eventually anyway. Pretty hollow victory, huh?

Reply  

A.C. Mason

13 hours ago

Note to next Republican President: Ask Clarence Thomas for his recommendations on filling any new Supreme Court vacancies

jonil L

14 hours ago

Roberts is so scared of controversy and making hard decisions that his cowardice is now so apparent that it's controversial!

Reply  10 

comeonman73 > jonil L

2 hours ago

Roberts is blinded by hate for Trump. I have no explanation for the other two caving. Rush Limbaugh was right "even when we win we lose" - been watching it happen for 4 years now.

Reply  1 

carlbrophy3036

14 hours ago

That brief is awesome. Thomas is a very smart man.

Reply  11 

galipeauj972128

15 hours ago

So much for Kavanaugh and ACB

Reply  30 

↩ Show 3 previous replies

CouldntKeepIt > galipeauj972128

14 hours ago

Right? Neither of them are conservatives and neither of them support the Constitution.

Reply  4 

kilarny6604 > galipeauj972128

14 hours ago

Agree. Maybe the average American is not really qualified to judge the fine points of law, but a lot of people's BS detectors went off last November.

Would be nice to see some recognition of that basic fact. We're not a bunch of mind-numbed robots, we're your fellow citizens.

Reply  4 

Vinz Clortho

13 hours ago

Progressives will declare vindication, but the state legislatures create their election laws, not the state courts. The Constitution is quite clear.

sizzyrus4920

13 hours ago

Real Americans Love You Justice Thomas. thank you for Trying.

Reply  14 

Vahalla Awaits

12 hours ago

A very intelligent man here. Thank you for your service sir!

Reply  11 

mccarjb4999

1 hour ago

The Supreme Court should have weighed in. It suggests cheating. IMHO, any ballot counted after election 'day' MUST be validated by every means possible. Otherwise, forget about elections if no one really believes they are valid.

Reply  3 

scorpio2you1657

3 hours ago

Be scared. Be very scared of what the Left has done to this great country and of the "fundamental transformation" which lies ahead. We are going to pay for our complacency which allowed the leftists to take over government institutions and branches, the worst of which is taking over the education system and breeding future "revolutionaries"

Reply  6 

Whatnow?

14 hours ago

Democrats don't need to pack SCOTUS with decisions like this. I'm sick and tired of judges who do not follow the law or the Constitution. Would it have killed them to listen to the case instead of refusing to even look at it?

Reply  17 

Brother Skywalker

15 hours ago

Vigorous destruction of internet-connected voting machines becomes the mission.

Reply  8 

thetacklebox1235

15 hours ago

Okay, you issued a vigorous dissent. And how many more vigorous dissents are you and other conservatives going to make on this issue. I quote a song; "the answer my friends is blowing in the wind. The answer is blowing in the wind. ". Science fiction has a better chance of becoming reality. 🙄

↩ Show 1 more reply

jensouutah7398

14 hours ago

Our basic freedoms of speech and voting are almost a thing of the past. The left continues to create new perverted changes and definitions to rid our country of dissenting voices.

Reply 👍 6 🗨

SaltyWeasel > jensouutah7398

14 hours ago

It's not almost a thing of the past, it's gone and no getting it back. Only if we take the good parts of this country and start a new one will we ever have something great again. The rot is too great and the ones creating it love the rot.

Reply 👍 4 🗨

↩ Show 1 more reply

woopwoop886080

11 hours ago

Thank you, Justice Thomas. Someone with sanity.

Reply 👍 9 🗨

Unknown Nobody

12 hours ago

Where the heck were the new 'conservative' justices? Did they leave their fortitude at home?

Reply 👍 13 🗨

jeffwill545 > Unknown Nobody

11 hours ago

Gorsuch did dissent. The two newer ones did not. Looks like Trump could've made better picks.

Reply 👍 8 🗨

melmelx830232

1 hour ago

Well, looks like ACB and Kavanaugh have gone left. What a way to hold your oath to stand up for the constitution. Can't say I'm surprised. Heartbroken but not surprised.

Reply 👍 5 🗨

mrmdgibson7272968

3 hours ago

Refusal by the courts to address these issues will, yes, I mean will, lead to violence. One of the purposes of the courts is to address matters in a non-violent setting, to give voice to those who may have no other legal recourse. This continual inability to address matters of concern to large

Reply  2 

JL



11 hours ago

If you keep refusing to hear the evidence, then of course you can make short sighted and dishonest claims to the effect of “not enough to change the results of a federal election”. All claims of fraud and voting irregularities should all be investigated and the evidence heard before a neutral court and be presented to all Americans. To sum it up in short, do your damn job.

Reply  14 

miratrapperr18414



42 minutes ago

The heart of what made America great has been destroyed in the pretense that the proclaimed result of an election is more important than the actual adherence to election rules.

Reply  3 

CHITownRepublican



8 hours ago

Thomas makes decisions the way they should be made. The Constitution, the Constitution, The Constitution...

Reply  8 

secession101



1 hour ago

Funny. I though the court was “conservative” now.

Reply  7 

The Oversat



13 hours ago

I am going to miss this man when he is gone.

Reply  11 

SaltyWeasel



14 hours ago

Yep, the Supreme Court is compromised as well. Nothing left to save of this country. All great nations die. This is the beginning of the death of this one. Game over.

Reply  8 

jeffbeauchamp28110



13 hours ago

Justice Thomas, is correct. The failure in rule of law and justice is the end of our freedom! I can

not let them be in vain.

God Bless America!!

Reply  4 

Pditty



2 hours ago

More Republican appointed SCOTUS judges siding with the Left. Gets old after a while.

Reply  8 

kellystuff14159



3 hours ago

All you have to know is that the PA AG stated that Biden won days before the election.

Reply  3 

rufioj254285



12 hours ago

What so hard about these clowns to oversee blatant issues of changing election laws without proper constitutional procedure? Corruption at the highest level.

Reply  7 

SerenityNowHere



3 hours ago

Failure to address this will lead to more unlawful intervention by democrat politicians to aid their candidates in the future.

Reply  4 

Mathew234



14 hours ago

Don't force us to the last resort

Reply  6 

sdr0403024718



14 hours ago

Forgive my speaking ill of the dead, Clarence Thomas is more of a hero than RBG ever was.

Reply  11 

FreedomFirst



2 hours ago

Clarence Thomas needs a statue in D. C. What a warrior he has been.

Reply  7 

I am absolutely devastated that our "sacred" Supreme Court, our checks and balances of Government, protectors of our great Constitution, are CORRUPTED for all the world to see... Justice Thomas, while I appreciate your dissent, your words are just that, WORDS! The Supreme Courts ACTIONS against the rule of LAW and our Constitution speaks much louder than your words! God Bless!!

Reply  2 

golsanrp8941 

1 hour ago

What are they there for? To refuse to hear and rightfully decide what is acceptable behavior, and conversely unacceptable behavior, in the future is absolutely crazy. Just another example of not accepting responsibilities that is clearly theirs.

Reply  1 

RyanHeinzman 

8 hours ago

anyone here going to run against their democratic governors, senators, mayors or city council?

Reply  3 

steveshay_20005506 > RyanHeinzman 

6 hours ago

No way! If I get cheated out of votes for, say, a local City Council seat, from my area's Democrat apparatus, I'd worry that even if I could prove fraud in court my valid complaint would be brushed aside because "you probably still wouldn't overturn enough votes to win the election," the judge would rule. Here Justice Thomas is right. This justification of the highest Court speculating on how much fraud is needed to change an election's outcome in order to call it a crime is absurd and a slippery slope.

Reply  5 

ghays9964 

1 hour ago

There is some common sense on the SC. Unfortunately, not enough of it, as well as guts and glory

Reply  2 

luisputzeys7778 

7 hours ago

Barrett? Kavanaugh?

Reply  5 

DobermanPinchYorself 

1 hour ago

Thomas should have been Chief Justice. They knew Libs would flip their wig so they presented Roberts as Chief Justice because it was the easier fight.

tblum13387

1 hour ago

Not taking a case is a gutless way of approving a previous decision. They are afraid to take the logical, constitutional position that their oath requires.

Reply  1 

docoles441078

1 hour ago

Trump and we the people got cheated.

Reply  3 

roscoetwo4261

3 hours ago

Dear Republicans, I will not vote anymore. I will also convince as many people to not vote again. I managed to convince 4, 40+ adults to vote for the first times in their lives. They wont again neither. Thanks for the voter suppression, the dems were right about that. You don't deserve my support any longer.

Reply  

chrisgilliamforex4159 > roscoetwo4261

2 hours ago

Then the far left has won. All these crimes are exactly why our votes are more important than ever. Be strong. The landscape is always changing and the fight always ongoing. Way I see it, they've set the stage for a huge pushback from us in the next major elections. We've done it before and got Trump. This time, he won't be on the ticket to drive out all the whiners on the other side. We hold the advantage so long as we're not fooled into silence.

Reply  4 

 Show 2 more replies

stvexplos1024

1 hour ago

This man has real guts. Ironically, I bet nobody will mention him once during the made up, racist scam called "black history month" since he's a highly intelligent, independent thinker.

Reply  4 

golsanrp8941 > stvexplos1024

1 hour ago

You're exactly right, stvexplos1024, Clarence Thomas has guts. That's a lot more praise than I can give the majority of GOP senators.

Reply  3 

jmoelo0165

13 hours ago

jessejmartinez7131033

2 hours ago

Banana republic.

Reply  3 

main.craig4437

13 hours ago

This highlights another of Trump's failures.

The failure to nominate strict constitutionalists to the Supreme Court.

Reply  1 

Ckane5666

1 hour ago

God Bless Clarence Thomas.

Reply  2 

Retail man

15 hours ago

Clarence Thomas speaking truth to Stupid again. Where is Kavanah, Gorsich, and ACB?

Reply  7 

k33338581 > Retail man

14 hours ago

Gorsuch also dissented, per the article. It was Thomas, Alito and Gorsuch.

Reply  5 

tiaanwessels5664

7 hours ago

Seems like in South Africa and elsewhere in the world probably, the justice system is corrupted.

Lady justice is indeed blind ... to her own failures

Reply  2 

Hunter's Crack Pipe

35 minutes ago

I remember someone on the left suggesting that black people's votes should count twice. I

wonder if he's be ok with that rule applying to Clarence Thomas and erasing Sotomayor's vote.

Reply  2 

Justine B.

38 minutes ago

Amy Coney Barrett has proved to be a big disappointment.

Omegazalady888

2 hours ago

LORD HELP US.... *(Edited)*

Reply  2 

tiemysgoo0585

14 hours ago

I have never trusted lawyers. It's a parlor game.

Reply  1 

BuffaloBiLLLLs

11 hours ago

We've been duped. It seems our only option is war.

Reply  2  5

emiller25012832

3 hours ago

Well I think every voter in this country has been duped. We do not have one part of this countries governing system that is not crooked. I live in Pa. there was fraud from top to bottom. In my little community we had 10 votes that were fraudulent. And these high and mighty sitting justices are worthless. They will not face a subject head on at all. Why? Because their scared to do so. We the people have no, that's right NO a representation at all. Time to stop giving these useless people money to have no balls. Time to overthrow these useless bags of garbage. Everyone of those justices should resign and let Biden just go ahead with his commie laws. Because they are not going g to stand up for us. Gd help this country because we have become a country of shriveling idiots.

Reply  5 

 Show 1 more reply

rblair0562

13 hours ago

Sorry excuse for justice. No standing and then moot. Just garbage.

Reply  4 

slesick5456

1 hour ago

Smart , honest man!

Reply  1 

rose76870174

31 minutes ago

Too late iudge to make a difference! No thanks! You all are culpable for all the evil that's

talleycat20060289

44 minutes ago

It'll be much worse next time

Reply  1 

bjeaufreau1448

4 hours ago

What was Amy Coney Barret's view?

Reply  1 

Rix670

2 hours ago

Unfortunately we will not get "better". We shall be told how to live and we will depend on the Motherlands chosen few. Emphasis on chosen.

Reply  

roko119240

3 hours ago

Well I am not voting anymore. It does not seem to matter now that the MALA crowd is running the show.

Reply   1

bernadetteshea10650

28 minutes ago

let your fellow judges know that they better get their act together or they will be out of a job soon because the Democrats are looking to eliminate the third branch of government

Reply  

curlyj5552813

1 hour ago

Shakespear was correct..... First, we hang all the lawyers...

Reply  1 

glarryb3523

14 hours ago

Why are these white supremist treating Justice Thomas this way?

Reply  

Up Next

Recommended for you




Biden AG Pick Merrick Garland: Far-Left Rioters Attacking Federal Courthouse Not Domestic Terrorism Because It's At Night When Court Is Closed

By Ryan Saavedra



Hotwire

Our Most Important Stories Right Now



'Citizens Deserve Better': Justice Clarence Thomas Dissents In PA Election Case

By Jon Brown



KERMIT CANCELED? Disney Slaps 'Offensive Content' Label On The Muppet Show

By Joseph Curl



AP Reporter Unloads On Biden Official: ‘All Of This Work Was Done In The Previous Administration’

By Daily Wire News



Sheriffs Can Arrest Feds Who Violate Citizens’ Gun Rights, New Missouri County Ordinance Says

By Hank Berrien



Democrat Rep. Ro Khanna: ‘We Don’t Want Low-Wage’ Small Businesses That Pay Less Than \$15 Minimum Wage

By Daily Wire News



6-Year-Old Accused Of Sexual Misconduct, School Calls Police. Mother Alleges Racism.

By Ashe Schow



Ex-Top Immigration Adviser: Biden Agenda ‘Would Fundamentally Erase Very Essence Of America’s Nationhood’

By Daily Wire News



Russia’s Enemies, Critics Blast Biden For Not Halting Russian Pipeline Like Trump Did: ‘Caved To Russia’s Demands’

By Ryan Saavedra

Don't miss a beat of our coverage.

We recently updated our privacy policy which will go into effect on 03.11.2021

[VIEW IT HERE](#)





[About](#)

[Authors](#)

[Advertise With Us](#)

[Book our Speakers](#)

[Help Center](#)

[Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)

[Standards & Policies](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[Careers](#)

[Internships](#)

© Copyright 2021, The Daily Wire