

75/100

305

base
minimum
length

Awk wordy

cliche
NS

And...

by who?

Based on the reviews that were provided, and knowing on how interested I am in the Civil War, I can say that I am eager to turn the first page, and indulge myself. Usually the New York Times is very fare and accurate about how they review books. So seeing that the critic said the only things that he pointed out was that the maps were hard to read and that "there was never a place called "Kingdom of the Palermo," shows me that James McPherson hit it spot on. Although it does bring up the case of how and where he came up with that information, and is there more information in the book that is false? But being as it is a college accepted book and having numerous reviews I am going to start reading the book with an open mind. I try to not focus on reviews that come from non-accredited business or names. (Such as the Amazon review system.) I trust it just about as far as I can throw a car. Mainly being that anyone can press 5 star and not even put any thought into it. I will admit that I do that from time to time. But as for the reviews done by New York Times and www.notnews.org, they provided more than just pushing a button. Not to mention they got paid to give the review, so they took their time to provide an in depth review. With not only the positive but as well as the very few negative aspects they found. After comparing the two online articles, and knowing that writing a book that wins The Pulitzer Prize, I am very confident that the information is correct. Besides if it was not very accurate why would the college use false information for class.

- teacher's choose their own textbooks.

grammar & spelling issues

take more time to explain

careful + proofread

not a sentence

cliche

explain

lack of analysis here