

Due date: Sunday, 9 January 2011, 11:55 PM
Last edited: Sunday, 9 January 2011, 10:42 PM (236 words)

After reading the online blogger, the buyers of the book, and the newspaper review I realize that they all liked the book but did find some flaws in the book, about what they did or didn't cover, or what they didn't cover enough or covered too much. Just like most reviews you are going to find some that like it and some that don't. I do worry that when I read a review and they say that the book might have left out some key historical facts or didn't cover some of the more important events throughly I am a little worried. When you read a history book about a specific time period you really want to cover all the details about that time frame, I want all the information at my disposal when I read a book for a class, espically one about history. I do find that the peer reviews offer the best insight to the book, the other reviews are done by people that do it for a living, but the peer reviews are done by people that may be students like me, so I give their review a liittle more weight. So as I start to read the book I will take into consideration what the reviews say but also go into the reading with an open mind, but have the reviews in the back of my mind.

Close this window

 [Moodle Docs for this page](#)