

the United States, then how can you consciously be ok with the war? With this being said, I realize events are not always the way we want them, but if it is going bad enough that you have to hide the facts, something is wrong.

Henry Prunier's "these were not ragtag farmers" was absolutely revealing. He tells a different side of Ho Chi Minh that I had no clue about. He tells this story of Ho Chi Minh being a "man of the people." He tells how well liked ~~loved~~ by his men that he was. The biggest point to be made here is the comment of how he believes the biggest disappointment of Ho had to be that the U.S. sided with the French and then South Vietnam, and then says "this should have never happened." If his memory ~~recalls~~ of his time over there are accurate, it begs the question of if we are helping him, and he seemed to be a good leader, then why wouldn't we follow him. Ho makes the comment that he is a communist, but why can't we still be friends? With this account alone to go on, if not to back Ho, then maybe we should have just abstained from the war. It seems to be that we stuck our noses into business that was not ours. This story sticks out so much because it makes the reader think what if we wouldn't have interfered. Vietnam may not have lost so many people ~~do~~ to a shorter war, as well as, other's lives such as Americans. As well as, at the same time shows that Ho was not just a horrible person. He loved his troops and they loved him.

Avoid the cliché
or non-academic
phrases.

Close this window

i Moodle Docs for this page

Very awkwardly worded throughout the paper.
Major proofreading (for style & clarity) is necessary.