

says it. (Both sides of the facts are given, not just one). As well, he uses a few comments in his story to bash the lack of communication between the "higher headquarters" and his camp. These facts that he discloses are vivid, not targeting the VC directly, and not just supporting his country.

Being there in the battle, in the war could easily persuade, or put thoughts into soldier's minds to be biased. He shows none. Roger clearly states points of his story that he is unsure of. For example, he is unsure how long he was unconscious for, but he knows it was long enough for his friend to die in his arms. As well, he tells the story of George Tuan, a Vietnamese interpreter. He explains how the 57 mm shell took off his legs, and how that is something he wishes he could forget. This leads to the conclusion he still recalls the events very well.

Part 2

The most disappointing story was that of Evelyn Colbert. From the start the story was a disappointment. The main reason it is a disappointment is for the fact that facts were twisted by the government, as well as, the threat by McNamara in the note. (The saying that starts with "the emperor has no clothes" seems to be very fitting.) It is hard to believe in your country when you read how facts are twisted. Moving toward the note from McNamara, dislike for the events intensified. Not only were the facts shushed, or glorified to show optimism, but the shush came with almost a blackmailing. (If you can promise such, we will let the incident go, but if you don't...) As a human of the world today, looking back, and forward, honestly I would rather know how horribly a war or situation is going, then have a veil of illusions pulled over my eyes. If the war in Vietnam was going horrible for anyone on our side of the war directly or indirectly because of

need this specific story

?

AWK

I can read between the lines to know what you are trying to say, but it is very awkwardly worded.

redundant

?

is this a quote?