

switch sides helping the Vietnamese and then in just a short time turn and fight against them. This gave America a bad name and made it seem like a traitor and untrustworthy country. Hearing accounts from the other side of the story is so refreshing. People always hear one side of the story and base their decisions on what they hear. Appy however includes accounts from both sides. Vo Nguyen Giap was a military leader for North Vietnam, who was connected to Henry Prunier when he was sent to train soldiers to fight anti-colonialism. When watching movies and reading books it seems that they always portray the enemy as almost being inhuman. Being able to read what Giap said and how he viewed the war makes the people being fought so much more real, and why they did what they did. Giap said, "Lessons are important. I agree (In response to McNamara's comment about the "lesson which will allow us to avoid such tragedies in the future"). However, you are wrong to call the war a 'tragedy'. Maybe it was a tragedy for you, but for us the war was a noble sacrifice. We did not want to fight the United States, but you gave us no choice." People need to see that the Vietnamese were not fighting us to take over our homes and freedom, just as Tran Thi Gung said, "Wouldn't you shoot me if you saw me holding a weapon and pointing it at you?" They were fighting because we came into their country. Appy does a beautiful job of exploiting both sides of the story so we can truly see what happened.

assume's
treaty / alliance
or allegiance
pwc

part
2 B
↓

Close this window

i Moodle Docs for this page

Part 2 A was the neekiest part
of the paper

Part 2 B, the positive was a bit strange.
You ~~used~~ seemed to use the Giap
& Gung stories to say something positive.
The only real positive was a "plus"
for Appy's book including Vietnamese
perspectives. Not exactly my question, but OK