

does. Does that mean it's not believable or too subjective? Absolutely not. Bernard Trainor specifically commanded units that led to the discovery of massive amounts of intelligence. To me that in itself gives him all the credibility he needs.

not related to my ?'s

no hypo

That's it?

very weak analysis

- reread my questions

## Part 2

The story that was the most disappointing to read was the one told by Nguyen Giap. It was disappointing because it reemphasized to me that this was a war where a lot of Americans and Vietnamese lost their lives over unclear reasons. The Vietnamese wanted to be rid of outside rule and become their own country. American leaders told the American people that this was a war against communism and it was America's duty to liberate an oppressed people. Nguyen went on to say that the Vietnamese didn't want to fight the Americans but we left them no choice. I was not alive when all of this was happening but it saddens me to hear about so much loss of life on both sides and no one really knows why. — huh? No one? I do. You should as well.

① clear reasons

②

It feels almost awkward for me to say but the positive lesson that I learned came from the Vietnamese perseverance. Nguyen went on to state that the Vietnamese knew how to use their intelligence against steel but that alone was not enough. The Vietnamese people knew that they would rather die than live in slavery. If you put the deaths aside the lesson I get from the story is that if you truly believe in something and you stand up for what you believe in, other people might see something as insurmountable but you will see it as a bump in the road on the path to your goals.

- same story?

paper was very superficial, lacking depth & development.

Close this window