

Due date: Sunday, 10 April 2011, 11:55 PM
 Last edited: Sunday, 10 April 2011, 10:48 PM (550 words)

76 / 100

PART 1:

Deirdre English's story was one of the main stories that caught my attention. Her story talked about a kind of feeling that peoples even now a days feel which is the feeling of not knowing what is going on around you. Sometimes I feel that way and that is mainly why this stuck out to me. I believe that this is a very trust worthy story. But, it is true to the context and the part of the world that she was in. She states that in 1970 after Nixon invaded Cambodia, "a national student strike was called. I was at Wesleyan College that year.." She is able to speak for her own surroundings. The truth that I take out of her story is only in regards to her part of the world. She is able to give a story about her college and even the other schools that they were able to involve. I feel that is you look at it that way the recollection is very credible. Once you start assuming this is how it was for all college campuses, with out any further research, that is when it becomes less credible. One thing I love is the fact that Deirdre, along with the students that were in her committee, were able to bring together so many students from different schools to make a stand for something they believed in is amazing. One thing that really blew me away is the fact that they did all this without the help of modern day conveniences like cell phones or the Internet. The things that we would use today to get information around were not accessible to them. They had cars and heart, that's what ultimately got them far.

PART 2:

One thing that really disappointed me came up at the end of Daniel Redmond's account. He begins to talk about Tom Dooley who was someone that he worked with who became famous because of his book "Deliver Us From Evil." He talks about how he was so kind and we occasionally suggest that they go up to the field hospital to visit French survivors. As he goes on to talk about the book he mentions, "'Deliver Us From Evil' was a piece of shit." In the book Tom exaggerated and made a lot of inaccuracies his once example had to do with a man Redmond's used to see everyday and Dooley said that he was a victim of Communist torture, which was not accurate. This frustrates me to think that someone who could in once sense be so nice and want to reach out to French survivors and even gracious with enlisted men and the Vietnamese would then turn the other way and talk so poorly and inaccurately of the Vietnamese people.

Look
at
what?

Explain. Unclear

When I read Henry Prunier's story I felt a sense of goodness from it. They had come to help the Vietnamese and teach them. They were ill armed and so he was a part of the "Deer Team" coming to help. But what really stuck out to me was the end when he talks about 1995, a delegation of OSS veteran reunion. General Giap was starring at him seeming as though he was trying to remember him and he then grabbed an orange out of a dish and made a motion like he was lobbing a hand grenade. At that moment He realized he had actually taught them something. They had gone to help and General Giap had remembered. Prunier even said, "Isn't that amazing?"

Close this window

 [Moodle Docs for this page](#)

Your paper seems rushed. It is lacking the level of analysis and detail required of the questions I asked.