



CENTRE FOR DISTANCE EDUCATION

Online Software Evaluation Report

TITLE : Open Source Software: two Learning Management Systems
REPORT # : R25/0310
AUTHOR(S) : Jim Depow
DATE : October 2003

[Note. An earlier report in this series (#5) indicated the proliferation of acronyms for systems providing Course Management (CMS), Learning Management (LMS), etc. The products reviewed in the current report include another type of CMS software, devoted to Content Management. In the attempt to minimize this growing Tower of Babel, the report refers to course and learning management systems interchangeably as LMS, and uses the CMS acronym to refer to Content Management Systems exclusively. The not unreasonable assumptions behind this rule is that course and learning management objectives are synonymous, while content is not necessarily course-based! *Ed.*]

Abstract

The report is the second in a two-part series about open source (OSS) and free software (FS) systems in online education. These are rapidly emerging as alternatives to costly proprietary systems learning management (LMS) and content management (CMS). The report reviews two LMS systems and a CMS system, all developed on the OSS/FS principle and available to users free of charge.

Introduction

Mullinix & McCurry (2003) describe the current wide range of faculty experiences with educational technologies. While some teachers develop and use advanced Web-enhanced learning materials quite effortlessly, others struggle to acquire basic computer literacy skills. Where previously teaching staff were divided in their ability to use the word-processor and spreadsheet, today's educators are divided by their ability to create and update their online course materials. Solutions to this problem are rapidly becoming available: e.g. the online 'blogging' methods that allow individuals to update educational materials without programming ability (Baggaley, 2003). The online LMS softwares now available have also reduced this gulf of understanding and ability, and are allowing more teachers to explore and experiment with online methods. Morgan (2003) found that faculty members' use of an LMS increased their instructional effectiveness, enhanced their communication with students, and allowed them to restructure their learning activities, thereby improving the pedagogy of their courses. Why then do some educators remain far behind in their uses of educational technology, if they enhance learning environments so greatly?

A major reason for the relatively slow adoption of LMS systems in education is the increasing cost of the software (Report #24). The current 'digital divide' separates not only the teachers (and thereby their students), but also the higher education institutions themselves. If the institutional infrastructures and support for online learning are not available, instructors may be unable to use online methods despite their personal readiness to do so. Many higher education institutions are using proprietary LMS products such as *WebCT* and *BlackBoard*, although these may be cost-prohibitive for other institutions. An alternative

solution is to be found in the wide range of non-proprietary open source (OS) and free software (FS) LMS packages that are rapidly emerging. The Centre for Curriculum, Transfer & Technology's web site (see References) lists over 40 such packages; and the Edutools site lists others. The current report reviews two such products, *Bazaar* and *Moodle*, both available at no cost under the GNU General Public License (GPL). The report also describes *Plone*, an OSS/FS content management system (CMS) which uses a browser-based principle similar to the increasingly popular 'blogging' method. All three products facilitate the creation, submission, and publication of educational content directly to a web site, without the need for additional development software nor HTML skills.

OS Learning and Content Management Systems

- 1) *Bazaar* is an LMS developed at Athabasca University. It requires an *Apache* web server and a *MySQL* database, and uses *CPAN Perl* modules. It runs in a *Linux* (Unix) environment, although it has also been tested successfully on other operating systems. Version 7.05 of the product includes the following Resources: a calendar, drop-Box for student uploads, file uploads, discussion forum, grade sheet, guest book, HTML page, IRC Client, journal discussion, poll, quiz, RSS Feed, references, search page, sign-up sheet, URL, and a user list. Each option is selected from a drop-down menu and added to a Category (e.g. a course). Multiple Categories can be created, each containing different resources which can in turn include other Categories. Access to the Resources is managed by the instructor, and can be restricted by individual users and user groups, or can be made publicly available.

Each Resource presents its own degree of ease of use. Adding a Calendar, Discussion Forum, or Drop-Box is straightforward. Creating a Quiz is time-consuming, and results of completed quizzes are reported in a numeric form that may confuse users. Navigation by the learners is straightforward, with a site map providing a global display of all the site's Resources. If users forget their passwords, they can obtain replacements via an automated response to an e-mail request. The system requires a site administrator to set up the initial Category for each instructor. The site administrator assigns various levels of access and control to the course instructors based on pre-defined roles. The site administrator creates groupings of students at the request of instructors. Creating an HTML page presents some difficulty for instructors, especially if images are used. These must be sent to the site administrator for uploading to the web server. User documentation is available from the *Bazaar* web site. A FAQ site provides user support. There is no Help function available within the system itself.

- 2) *Moodle* is an LMS developed by Martin Dougiamas as a PhD in Education project. It runs in a *Linux* (Unix) environment supporting the PHP scripting language, and requires an *MySQL* or *PostgreSQL* database. Course home pages can be formatted in three ways: weekly, social, or by topic. The weekly format was used for this review of v1.1.1 of the product. Within each week, the course instructor can add activities including Assignments, Attendance, Chats, Choices, Dialogues, Glossary, Journals, Quizzes, Resources, and a Workshop. Course content can be prepared in the form of files uploaded to the server, as pages edited directly within the LMS, and as external web pages made to appear as part of the course materials. The instructor manages access to the course. Activities are easily created for each week, can be hidden from the students until needed, and is supported by Help dialogue boxes. Documents created in word-processing software can be pasted into the activity option as HTML text, maintaining their formatted features. Activities requiring text use a *RichText* HTML editor to provide a word-processor interface that includes formatting, insertion of images, tables, links, and emoticons. Chat, Quizzes, and Survey activities are also easily created.

The *Moodle* system is based on the philosophy of maximum instructor control and minimal administrator control. After the initial setup and creation of the course area, the instructor manages its materials with minimal (if any) assistance by the administrator. Course pages and other files can be published directly from within the system, giving the instructor greater control. The *Moodle* web site contains administrative documentation, a teacher's manual, and documentation created by other users. Other user resources include a vibrant user's group FAQ. For the benefit of users and institutions that lack the server and support infrastructure to host their own online materials, a *Moodle* hosting service is available at a very reasonable price.

- 3) *Plone* is a content management system (CMS) dedicated to browser-based document publishing and updating functions. It utilizes the OSS application server *Zope* and its accompanying Content Management Framework, which its developers describe as delivering "a powerful, tailored CMS in a fraction of the time of big vendors". *Plone*'s potential in online education is as a tool allowing instructors to format and publish their course materials without the need for web programming skills. It has an easy-to-use interface, and utilizes style sheets to unify the 'look and feel' of course web sites with minimal effort on the part of the teacher. Once a course account has been created (usually by a site administrator), the teacher can use the software without further assistance. Although focussing on document management rather than the more varied activities of LMS systems such as *Bazaar* and *Moodle*, *Plone* can also serve as a useful collaborative tool between remote project partners.

Conclusion

Proprietary software is no longer the only available option for quality online course management. A healthy OSS/FS movement has emerged, providing no-cost products that are evidently as good as or even better than proprietary, commercial products. The open availability of OSS/FS products' source code makes it more flexible and customizable than typical proprietary software. Based on the current evaluation, the author is recommending the use of *Moodle* within his College campus. Its appealing visual design, the ease and intuitive manner with which online activities can be added, the online help, and the support provided by the documentation and users groups make this a superior and user-friendly LMS. It is hoped that this recommendation will assist in the development of a structured, supportive, and relatively cost-free environment at the College, in which instructors can experiment with learning technology, and can enhance their teaching activities for the students' benefit. A tool such as *Plone*, serving restricted CMS needs, may also be useful in this context in that it can be used by novice web-site builders for the major functions of online publishing, without the potential distractions of more elaborate LMS systems.

References

- Baggaley, J.P. (2003). Blogging as a course management tool. *The Technology Source* (July/August): <http://ts.mivu.org/default.asp?show=article&id=2011>
- Morgan, G. (2003). Faculty use of course management systems: key findings. *Educause Center for Applied Research* (May): <http://www.educause.edu/asp/doclib/abstract.asp?ID=ERS0302>
- Mullinix, B. & McCurry, D. (2003). Balancing the Learning Equation: exploring effective mixtures of technology, teaching, and learning. *The Technology Source* (September/October): <http://ts.mivu.org/default.asp?show=article&id=1002>

Acknowledgements

The author extends his thanks to Mawuli Kuivi of Athabasca University for his valuable assistance in installing the *Bazaar* system. His quick replies to e-mail enquiries and patience are very much appreciated. The author also thanks to Martin Dougiamas for providing access to the *Moodle* demo site.

N.B. Owing to the speed with which web addresses are changed, the online references cited in this report may be outdated. They can be checked at the Athabasca University software evaluation site: <http://cde.athabascau.ca/softeval/>. JPB (Ed., Technical Reports).