HST 202: History of United States W19 (Escobar)

Forum 4

Native American Mascots in Oregon

Subscribe

◄ Professional Sports, Data, & Images

Native American Mascots in Oregon ►

Display replies in nested form | Move this discussion to ... | Move | Pin

Native American Mascots in Oregon
by Joe Escobar - Friday, March 1, 2019, 9:09 PM

This thread is for discussions on the Native American Mascots in Oregon topic.

To make your POSTS, click the reply link in this, MY post. To reply to another student's post, be sure to click the reply link within in THEIR post.

IMPORTANT - SUBJECT LINES

BE SURE to use an informative subject line for your post, something that describes the questions you are addressing. Do NOT simply leave it RE: Native American Mascots in Oregon

Sum of ratings: -

Permalink | Edit | Delete | Reply

Who Gets To Have a Say?
by James Croxton - Monday, March 4, 2019, 6:33 PM

What a loaded topic this is

In my opinion, the most important—and first question—that needs to be asked is *who*. Who gets to claim that they are Native American and who gets to speak on the subject.

First, in current news, there is the Elizabeth Warren controversy in which she claimed to be Native American, when she hardly has any of their DNA in her, and even was so bold as to identify herself as American Indian to the BAR Association. The Cherokee Nation even came out to denounce her heritage.

She eventually has had to apologize and walk back these claims repeatedly. But, there's always a positive side and that's that it opened up the discussion. Al Jazeera even did an article tackling this very issue titled "Who is Native American?" (https://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/native-american-190220092329850.html)

She's pretty much lost her "Indian-card," if she even had claim to one.

In regards to the first Register-Guard article, I was disheartened—but in no means surprised—that an administration, this time being a School District and advisory committee, wouldn't advance a cause. This seems to be all too common in today's climate.

I am frustrated in that even though individuals agree that, let's say, the cartoonish drawing on the gym wall at North Douglas, is offensive and "culturally insensitive," nothing is being done about it.

But, what incensed me the most was the Letter to the Editor by Steve Bowers, a Harrisburg resident.

For one, his examples of "logic:"

- "South Eugene Axemen: Gone. You're offending the loggers. Grants Pass Cavemen: Extinct. Besides, it's chauvinistic. Sheldon Irish: Banned. You're offending an entire nation. Pleasant Hill Billies are no more. The Hatfields and McCoys are up in arms!"

They don't hold the same meaning to members of those groups as they do Native Americans. *Should I even have to explain this?* Axemen weren't forced to leave the land that they had called home for thousands of years. None of these groups were the victim of genocide.

Secondly, I cringed when he defends his argument by saying "I have a modicum of Cherokee in my blood. As kids, cowboys and Indians was a mainstay of our fun and games. Any Indian connotation was, and is, held in the greatest respect and admiration."

Using big words like "modicum" aren't going to fool me.

The "cringiest" part of his defense was the whole "cowboys and Indians" and "greatest respect and admiration."

Sand Creek Massacre anyone?

Also, just because you do something with the "greatest respect and admiration," surely doesn't mean it's going to come off as such. This defense of character didn't do so well for Virginia Governor, Ralph Northam, and his—"'I had the shoes, I had a glove, and I used just a little bit of shoe polish to put under my -- or on my -- cheeks,' he said. 'And the reason I used a very little bit is because, I don't know if anybody's ever tried that, but you cannot get shoe polish off'"—situation. (https://www.cnn.com/2019/02/02/politics/northam-racist-yearbook-photo/index.html)

Let's leave the issues to people who truly know what they are talking about have the backing of those they are helping support.

Sum of ratings:3 (1) 3

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Who can decide?

by Ben Crawford - Monday, March 4, 2019, 8:17 PM

When discussing the purpose of who gets to "be Indian" for the purposes of speaking about the mascots, I believe that there is no correct answer. Since everyone has their own opinion and own way of looking at things, it would be nearly impossible to nail down an encompassing group that gets to decide. However, if I was to choose who got to "be Indian", I would most likely say anyone who lives or has lived on a reservation and is of Native American heritage would have the most reason to step up and discuss the issue. If you are a student, alumni, parent, or community member, that does not mean that your opinion is therefore invalid. It all comes down to who the peoples whose heritage is depicted by the mascot. The one part that matters for the community however is how they interpret the mascot and label it. In the Register Guard article by Anne Williams, it talks about the Oakbridge High School Warriors mascot in a sincere positive tone. "The many depictions of Warriors on campus — including a carved bust of an Indian chief in the auditorium and a painting of a striking young warrior in the gym — portray them in a positive, even heroic, manner, he added." I think that no matter what the case is, if the intent for the mascot to be portrayed in a positive light is in fact true and real, the Native Americans will see that and be ok with the mascot. All in all, I think that Louie Pitt summarizes the controversy perfectly with this quote from the same article in the Register Guard, "Louie Pitt, director of government affairs and planning for the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, said his tribe also declined to take a stand. "I think we have a spectrum of opinion here, from hating the mascots and seeing them as degrading and insensitive, to some people thinking of even the Washington Redskins as being an honor," he said."

Sum of ratings:3 (1) 3

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Sixty years later...we know better

by Meri Pedelini - Monday, March 4, 2019, 10:18 PM

I've read about this issue before, and I've always decided that I don't believe that the mascots should be exploiting any culture. I do see the other side of the perspective of the Oakridge students on how the Warrior mascot is a symbol of strength and dignity. I can see how this could make some Native Americans proud of their culture and at the same time I can see how it perpetuates out-dated stereotypes of native culture. it still does not sit well with me. Especially after learning the history of expansion and how the Native Americans were treated terribly. From the Trail

of Tears to Sand Creek Massacre. Even though data claims that the majority of Native American population is not offended I do believe that the minority should be heard. My thoughts were summed up in the words of Brenda Brainard from the Register Guard article

"I don't believe there were bad intentions at the start," local American Indian educator Brenda Brainard said, referring to school districts' mid-20th-century embrace of Indian mascots. "But hanging on to it? That kind of feels like bad intentions ... Sixty years later, we know better."

If this was any other race, like African Americans, Chinese etc. there would be no question whether to change the mascot. So it bothers me that we say its okay to use this race as a mascot but not that one. I think that they should have to be consistent with what they find acceptable and ethical.

Sum of ratings:3 (1) 3

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Sixty years later...we know better
by James Croxton - Friday, March 8, 2019, 9:19 PM

Meri,

Your post really the same chords as I.

I especially like your quote: "I don't believe that the mascots should be exploiting any culture" and it's explanation that "If this was any other race, like African Americans, Chinese etc. there would be no question whether to change the mascot."

This is SO true and also really frustrates me about how these sorts of things are currently viewed.

Sum of ratings:1 (1) 1

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Sixty years later...we know better
by Nicolette D Erazo - Saturday, March 9, 2019, 9:15 PM

The idea that you bring up about "if this were any other race, we would have no question to want to change the mascot" is an eye opening point. There is so much back and forth on what to do, but the history of the U.S. and Native Americans has never been very positive. As stated in class," During the Sand Creek Massacre, 200 Cheyenne tribe members were killed." Our history with the Chinese and African Americans has also had a dark series of events including slavery and the riots against the Chinese workers, leading to many deaths. If we go along those lines of why it would be inappropriate to use those types of mascots, why would Native Americans be any different?

Sum of ratings:1 (1) 1

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Compromise is Preferable
by Bailey Deverell - Monday, March 4, 2019, 11:07 PM

This is an interesting and complex issue, and one that I feel there is no easy solutions or answers for. On one side of the issue we have a vocal minority who are for the ban, and on the other side a vocal majority who are against it. But I wonder if the leaders of Oregon's tribes who either have not involved themselves with the issue or who are actually against the ban are not just partially conditioned to accept or tolerate, along with the majority of people in the United States, the subtle, institutionalized prejudice that continues to prevail in our culture. Perhaps it is a side effect of the forced assimilation of the Native Americans into "white" American culture in the late nineteenth century, as described in our textbooks (pg. 610).That being said, I do find the idea of letting the schools keep their racist mascots with the exception that the school must teach its student body about the harmful effects of stereotypes on the subconscious to be intriguing at least. As an advocate of compromise I may have preferred this option, but I am still unsure of how something like this might even be accomplished at the state level. I feel that it would have been better than passing legislation that the majority of people in the state, including some tribes, were opposed to. But then again I can't help but wonder if I might be thinking differently if this were the 1960's during the civil rights movement. Would it have been better to simply do what the majority of (white) people wanted back then and keep segregation?

As for the “who gets to be Indian” question, I am conflicted. I myself, like many Americans, have Native ancestry as my grandfather was half Sioux, born and raised on a reservation in Montana. But I was raised white and in no way feel that I have a right to speak on behalf of the tribes. I suppose it must come down to a matter of how you were brought up. If someone were raised as a Native by people of Native descent then that person has a right to call themselves a Native American and to speak from a Native American perspective.

Sum of ratings:3 (1) 3

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Native American Mascots in Oregon
by Sebastian Garcin - Monday, March 4, 2019, 11:23 PM

Before I state my opinion on this issue I want to make it clear that I am in no way racist against the Native American culture/people or any culture/people for that matter.

Now after saying that, I believe that this issue has gone completely disproportionate and is honestly quite stupid. I think that Oregon's recent decision on mascots is not the right one, and that it is just a misguided act. It is not like changing a high school mascot will take away the past racism, death, and damaged relations that have happened since America was first colonized. There is honestly no way to fully heal all the old wounds that run deep and even if there was a way to do it, i highly doubt that the change of a mascot for a high school is that type of change.

For the small minority that finds it to be discriminating/racist and are offended by the mascots is unavoidable. It is impossible to take any action and to please everyone, meaning that there will always be a group(no matter how small) that will be offended or disagree. I believe that all cultures should be respected even if I don't agree with it, and if I am part of the majority or minority then that is just the way it is. If there is just a single person that disagrees or is offended by something doesn't make it bad or a wrong and that is just the way things are. If it is the Native American Tribes that are supposedly being offended/not offended, then it should be the Tribes to make the decision on the mascots/team name. I think that is the best way to resolve this issue.

I would love to hear other thoughts on this issue and opinion on the stance I have taken.

Sum of ratings:2 (1) 2

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Native American Mascots in Oregon
by Scott Carpenter - Thursday, March 7, 2019, 5:56 PM

Sabastian You said “It is not like changing a high school mascot will take away the past racism, death, and damaged relations that have happened since America was first colonized. There is honestly no way to fully heal all the old wounds that run deep and even if there was a way to do it, I highly doubt that the change of a mascot for a high school is that type of change.”

I agree with you the past can’t be taken back or away. The damage has already been done. I think its past the point of trying to heal old wounds.

But I feel awareness is needed before change can happen. Even if that is in our schools because there is influence from negative sources, these kids are the future. Maybe talking to our young on how living in an unequal society might causes racial animosity, then possibly racial instances could become better over time. Why not talk about solutions and fair treatment for all races.

Your right! There will be people who are going to find it discriminating/racist and are offended by a mascot

It wouldn’t matter if most Native Americans in Oregon or anywhere didn’t have a problem with a mascot and not. It is individual responsibilities to act in a manner that show self-respect to each other no matter what your cultural race ethnicity might be.

Sum of ratings:2 (1) 2

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Native American Mascots in Oregon
by Sebastian Garcin - Thursday, March 7, 2019, 8:10 PM

I completely agree with you that there should be an awareness is needed, for not only each race but also for the problems/racism they face. I also agree that the younger generation (which i am a part of) needs to have an awareness about how the United States was and is an unequal society, filled with racial animosity and in many cases racial violence. Having been always raised to view and treat a person on their character, not on their race or heritage, I hope that many others in my generation and future ones are raised the same way. It won't completely eradicate racism but it will improve the situation and hopefully provide the building blocks to create a better future.

A problem I do have though, especially with situations such as the Native American mascots being seen as offensive, is the people how are finding it offensive in the first place. Again I want to make it clear that I'm in no way racist to the Native American culture, its people, or have anything against the people offended today. What I do find a problem is people who are being offended are so-called tribesmen/tribewomen, but what classifies as a Native American in that sense. Many Native Americans intermarried(by choice or being forced) with white communities and black communities since the Civil War. Now many of the Americans living here today with ancestors from that time have Native American blood in them, even if it is a low percentage. Do they all classified as Native Americans, I don't think so. I believe they have Native American heritage but I don't believe in a sense anymore that they are Native Americans unless they were raised in the Native American Way. I know saying that can seem course and maybe even racist but I don't see any other way to put it.

For instance would you consider someone who is white but has let's say 3% Native American blood in them, should be considered Native Americans themselves?? I am curious on what you think about that.

Sum of ratings:2 (1) 2

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Native American Mascots in Oregon
by Scott Carpenter - Friday, March 8, 2019, 9:06 PM

Thanks for your response.

Have you heard about Blood quantum laws? The percentage required by each tribe varies and you must meet a minimum degree before they will grant you membership into their tribe. Some Tribes will only grant considering your lineal descent, and some tribes require both blood quantum and lineal descent. So, you can see, it depends. Even the federal government requires you to meet a minimum before they will grant you federal benefits. These benefits are based on compacts made between the Tribal Nation and the U.S. government. There are over 500 federally recognized tribes and over 200 tribes that are not on the list. but many are petitioning for federal recognition

This is just one example of many. Located in Western North Carolina. The Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians – A direct lineal ancestor must appear on the 1924 Baker Roll dating back to 1835. a min of 1/6 degree of Cherokee blood for tribal enrollment, while the Bureau of Indian Affairs' Higher education Grant expects you to have the minimum of ¼ Native American blood percentages. The Bureau of Indian Affairs is an agency under the United States Department of Interior and are the ones who issue certificates for degree of Indian blood

You said, "would you consider someone who is white but has let's say 3% Native American blood in them, should be considered Native Americans themselves??"

I am ---- ¼ Cherokee, ¼ German, ¼ English, ¼ Slovenian. I was not raised in the Native American way regarding their customs and beliefs. I have Native American ancestry< but because of the complexity of it, by my definition of it. I do not think of myself as Native American.

Sum of ratings:3 (1) 3

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Native American Mascots in Oregon
by James Croxton - Friday, March 8, 2019, 9:23 PM

Scott,

For one, I'd like to say that in my opinion, if you are 1/4 Native American, I believe that to be a significant amount. Enough to certainly consider yourself so.

However, that wasn't why I wanted to reply.

I wanted to point out that what you said above about blood quantum laws is extremely important in situations such as these. Look at Elizabeth Warren, for example. She claimed (and actually marked herself as such on a BAR exam) that she was Native American, and yet the nation she was claiming to belong to denounced her.

These blood quantum laws/rules are VERY important in identifying who is and isn't a member.

Sum of ratings:2 (1) 2

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Native American Mascots in Oregon

by Sebastian Garcin - Friday, March 8, 2019, 10:23 PM

I did completely forget about the blood Quantum laws and think that it does in a sense qualify someone as Native American. I still think however that if it is a matter of being defensive then all the tribes should come together and make the decision on whether or not to change the mascots. That in my mind would probably be the best compromise and help build better ties to the culture.

I do believe it is important for people to know the history, of both their family and the world. Not just the so called victories or the "light" side of history but also after dark history that no one wants to talk about. As in the words of George Santayana "Those who do not learn history are doomed to repeat it." I don't however believe in changing something or an action without meaning behind it.

For example in class we learned about the Native American skulls being taken out of the Smithsonian and buried. While I can in a sense understand the emotional value behind the skulls, what good did it do to take away historical evidence as Professor Escobar put it and put it in the ground. I'm trying to put my words carefully because it's a bit of a sensitive subject but it's not like those skulls have any effect on the Native Americans nowadays. I'll use an example from my own Heritage and family. All of my family comes from South Africa, there whites are a minority compared to the blacks. There has been much unrest due to the younger generation of native Africans against the whites. That is because when it Africa was colonized by the Dutch and English settlers pushed away the blacks and weren't the best of people. Now several Generations later the younger generation of native Africans who haven't been directly affected by the whites Generations ago, are calling for retaliation because of it.

I do believe that there shouldn't be racism and if something is offencive to the majority and not just one or two people it should be changed. I do not believe that it should just be changed for no reason or without logic. As I have said previously I think the best thing to do put Pita let all the tribes come together and decide collectively whether or not to change the mascots.

Sum of ratings:2 (1) 2

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Mascots in Oregon "Respect"

by Scott Carpenter - Wednesday, March 6, 2019, 10:39 PM

I think it does matter if you enjoy watching or involved anyway with sports, how mascots are viewed. This is just me but, I don’t drink in front of someone who has an addiction to alcohol.

I think it boils down to all that Money that is at stake. Especially with Collages and pro teams. Just think of all the millions and millions of dollars at stake here with licensed merchandise.

In my view It wouldn’t matter if most Native Americans in Oregon or anywhere didn’t have a problem with a mascot and not. It is individual responsibilities to act in a manner that show self-respect to each other no matter what your cultural race ethnicity might be.

I can understand why Native Americans would want the removal of mascots. Examples “redskin” and “Indians” Are just a few. There will always be ignorant people representing it in a negative and offensive way. And I am sure some would be respectful. Some take it to be racially very offensive, and some just a little offensive. You’ve heard this one “it only takes one bad apple in the bunch to make them all rotten”

the 1887 Dawes Severalty Act (Page 610 & 611) “was an attempt to strip Indians of their culture, the Dawes Act forbade Indians religion and the telling of Indian myths and legends, as well as the practice of medicine men “Children being taken from families and put in Indian boarding schools, denying their culture, forbidding them to

speak their language and speak English, or wear Indian clothing" " Is this not what where doing still by mocking hem striping them from their culture

We know from our history that we have pushed Native Americans away on many occasions. Because GREED.

Schools I think should have some understanding that in to-days Multi cultural society that there is going to be people displaying diminishing, belittling, deflating attitudes because their ignorant and have none too little respect for someone's cultural background.

Maybe it boils down to people are just so unhappy with what's going on in their own lives that they find pleasure and happiness in making it difficult for others.

1st Amendment Will people try to use this Amendment as a right of a school's mascot using "terms or images" that are offensive.

Sum of ratings:3 (1) 3

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Mascots in Oregon "Respect"
by Meri Pedelini - Thursday, March 7, 2019, 12:53 PM

Im happy you mention the greed factor coming into how America's relationship history has been with the Native Americans - they use the "it would cost too much money to change" argument. It shouldn't matter. And mentioning of the Dawes Act was a good connection. I didn't think of that at first, at one point America was denying their culture and trying to make them assimilate. But now days we exploit it for entertainment purposes.

Sum of ratings:1 (1) 1

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

One Individual Affecting the Majority According To Bowers
by Nicolette D Erazo - Friday, March 8, 2019, 4:48 PM

According to the Register Guard article, the author, Steve Bowers, seems to be on the side that, just because one individual is offended, does not influence the majority to make large scale change. He compared this issue of Indian mascots to getting rid of the South Eugene axemen because the loggers are offended. I believe that his main point was to stress the fact that he identifies as a Native American and doesn't seem to think that this issue is showing any disrespect to the Native American communities. "Any Indian connotation was, and is, held in the greatest respect and admiration" (Register Guard Article).

Sum of ratings:1 (1) 1

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Keep the Mascots
by Jesse Carr - Saturday, March 9, 2019, 9:11 PM

In my opinion I think that the Oregon school district should keep their Indian mascots. I think the native American tribe should have the biggest say on the issue and we should fallow their wishes. The way I see it is if the Indian tribe don't have a problem with the mascots and are even disappointed when the names are changed is a problem. I believe that the mascots are representing the Indian tribes and reminding people about the history of America. I don't see them as a bad or racist symbol and based on surveys taken I don't think the native Americans do either. The fact that people are trying to take away a piece of history in my opinion is a very bad thing. When younger generations grow up and start watching sports and there is no Indian mascots I think that is taking away kids chances to learn. If you go to a school with an Indian mascot chances are you will learn about the history of your school and when you are named that, and if that is taken away if feel that in 20 or 30 years from now if people keep trying to take the history of native Americans out of our everyday lives it will be lost and the everyday person that doesn't take the chance to learn about native American history from a book or the internet might never know anything about them.

Sum of ratings:1 (1) 1

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Keep the Mascots
by Sebastian Garcin - Saturday, March 9, 2019, 10:35 PM

While I do agree with you that we shouldn't change the mascots, or if we were to the decision would have to be made by all the Native American tribes collectively. However I don't agree with that by taking away the Native American mascots we are taking away the children's chances to learn about the issues. While yes it might make them more likely to learn about their High School's team it's not going to go all the way back to the Native Americans, the racism they faced, and all the death that was caused. Especially when it comes to elementary/ middle/ high schools, just as Professor Escobar stated, is not the complete or most accurate historical truth. So even if they were to learn something about the Native Americans it more likely would be along the lines of them just being Savages or something like that.

I was no exception to this originally. I always viewed the Battle of Bull Run for instance as the Native Americans just attacking soldiers for no reason and those soldiers being the so-called Heroes of the story. As we know that is not complete case, the attack was in retaliation for previous actions taken against the Native Americans. I do believe it is very important to know the history but I don't believe that a high school mascot or team name will have any effect on learning the accurate history of the United States and the problems that have stemmed from it.

It is a terrible thought to think that in 20 or 30 years it will be much harder or even almost impossible to teach about the history that actually occurred. Most likely the way to prevent this is to keep all the historical artifacts and not let bias get in the way, not by keeping a mascot or team name.

Sum of ratings:1 (1) 1

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Keep the Mascots

by Bailey Deverell - Saturday, March 9, 2019, 10:36 PM

Hey Jesse,

While I see where you are coming from on the issues of history being lost and people potentially losing out on an opportunity to gain knowledge about Native Americans, I respectfully disagree with your point of view on the mascots. Based on what is written in the article I can understand why you might come to the conclusion that you did, since most of the Native American tribes in Oregon don't seem to have a problem with the mascots or in fact encourage teams to keep their mascots. But I would like to remind you of what we learned in class from Mr. Escobar, as well from the textbook; that in the late 1800s after the Civil War the United States government tried to get rid of Native American tribes and reservations by forcing Native Americans to give up their own culture and accept white people's culture. It would have happened in Oregon with the Native Americans that were living here. Even though it's not like that anymore the effects are still in American society today, and that could be an explanation for why we're not seeing a lot of action from the Native American tribes on the mascots issue.

Sum of ratings:2 (1) 2

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

Re: Keep the Mascots

by Sebastian Garcin - Saturday, March 9, 2019, 10:49 PM

You could be right that the Native American tribes in Oregon and throughout the rest of the states might not all be voicing their concern / viewpoints because of the past. The Native Americans history in the United States is filled with betrayal, death, and incredible amounts of pain. That pain is remembered throughout the generations and often grows due to personal bias and just the feeling of your own people(culture) being destroyed. It is very possible and likely that the Native American people still have a strong distrust for the United States government and its citizens in general.

However it is also a possibility that the Native American tribes aren't offended by the mascots and might actually see it as them being represented in the media, Sports, etc. They might truly not have any feelings about the mascots being used. The only way we can know for sure is by placing the decision completely in their hands. Of course there's going to be people that disagree or are offended by it, that is just basic human nature. The human race is basically broken, we are constantly letting our greed get the better of us and finding new, better ways to get rid of the problem, or more precisely get rid of the people disagreeing with us. The best course of action would be to hand over the mascot names to the tribes and let them decide.

Sum of ratings:1 (1) 1

Permalink | Show parent | Edit | Split | Delete | Reply

◀ Professional Sports, Data, & Images

Native American Mascots in Oregon ▶

◄ Chapter 18 Quiz Jump to... CLICK HERE for Forum 4 Materials & Questions ►

Moodle Docs for this page

You are logged in as Joe Escobar (Log out)
HST 202 W19 (Escobar Meta 30767 30768)
Get the mobile app