

Forum 4

Display replies in nested form

Move this discussion to ...

Add a block

Add...

**Indian Mascots**

by Joe Escobar - Saturday, February 28, 2015, 10:16 AM

This forum thread is for Indian Mascots posts and replies.

After studying the required materials, address the various questions. To make your POSTS, click the reply link in this, MY post. To reply to another student's post, be sure to click the reply link within in THEIR post.

IMPORTANT - SUBJECT LINES

BE SURE to use an informative subject line for your post. Do NOT simply leave it RE: Indian Mascots

Sum of ratings: -

[Edit](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Indian Mascots**

by Evan Kossow - Monday, March 2, 2015, 12:22 PM

If you discount out the idea of an appropriate amount of time passing before something can be acceptable it can be said that a mascot like the Indians is no different then a mascot like a spartan in representing a culture as something to root for. What i see as the issue in creating a mascot like an Indian dressed with a feather in his head is that it represents Native American culture as a thing of the past. Many tribal natives today are very proud of their heritage and see our modern western culture as separate of that they feel they belong. Spartan culture does not thrive or exist in any way directly related to the members of the past. Spartan culture is dead and remembered only in stories and history not practice. Native culture is alive in small sects all across the country with many people working hard to remember and preserve that culture. Preservationists are a minority among those with Native blood today, as most individuals feel fully integrated into western culture and would consider themselves simply Americans. I see a preservationist viewing a stereotypical mascot created by western culture as a symbolization of a dead Native culture only to be remembered in history and not credited for existing today.

Sum of ratings: 3 (1) 3

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Indian Mascots**

by Stanley Cates - Monday, March 2, 2015, 9:52 PM

You have a very valid argument and it is very well put. Would you then also propose a similar opinion on other mascots from currently living cultures like the "fighting Irish"?

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) 1

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)

**Re: Indian Mascots***by [Evan Kossow](#) - Thursday, March 5, 2015, 1:51 PM*

Thanks for the comment. Yes, being that historical Irish culture is still working to stay alive today i can understand a fighting Irish mascot being contentious. Describing the Irish as "Fighting" also highlights a stereotypical overtone of the mascot and some even have the drawn Irish caveman face like we've seen in our cartoons. My guess would be that a fighting Irish mascot would go over especially poor in England considering the violent history between the crown and those who would like to bring back an independent Ireland.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Indian Mascots***by [Stanley Cates](#) - Thursday, March 5, 2015, 9:51 PM*

Agreed. I'll add though that the Irish mascots also seem to often be drawn with fists up and wearing lot's of green; furthering the stereotyped image.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Indian Mascots***by [Cesar Rodriguez](#) - Saturday, March 7, 2015, 11:59 PM*

What If the mascot is not used to represent a past culture but instead the attributes of said culture or people to be carried on in a sports team, for example the teams with a mascot as the Spartans represent their fighting spirit and ruthlessness and its intention to honor Native Americans by referring to positive traits such as fighting spirit and being aggressive, brave, stoic, dedicated, and proud.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Indian Mascots***by [Jesse Bowman](#) - Sunday, March 8, 2015, 12:41 AM*

The problem with such imagery is the issue of stereotypes that form because of them. For example, a lot of people believe in the "one brush" stereotypes of native Americans i.e, the shared peace between tribes, the conservationist's approach to resources, etc. At first glance these stereotypes seem positive but the issue is the fallacy behind such stereotypes. Having such imagery to represent a culture creates false stigmas on them, regardless if they are good or bad.

Sum of ratings: 2 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Indian history to present***by [Jordan Towe](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 1:05 PM*

The controversy surrounding the indian's today is that they are unhappy with how society today is portraying them. But most of the indian's aren't to concerned with the topic. People expect to see native americans as running around in buffalo skin clothes with feathers in their hair and paint on their faces. Native americans today are very proud of their ancestors and take pride in their heritage. The sports illustrated story covers how only florida state has the only right to use a tribes name in their sports teams. The NCAA has forbidden any other school from using a tribes name as a mascot. Most people who are against the mascots are people who think that we are teaching our younger kids the wrong things about natives. We need to teach them the actual historical facts about them and focus on the positive things.

Sum of ratings:1 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Indians have a voice!

by [Cody Garrison](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 4:14 PM

After reading the articles and what our book talks about in the past I feel like this topic is blown out of proportion. I am a little under a half of Klamath Indian and it does not offend my one bit. Being open minded of the topic though I can see both points of view very clear. Oregon making it to where schools have to change is Oregon's right. I think if the local tribe has an issue with a school using their name or heritage as a mascot they should have to change it. Looking at the cost to replace redesign a schools image could be very expensive. That amount of money could be used to help the children in need or even help the school. School funding is already a crisis and money shouldn't have to be used to change something they don't really need to change. I understand that individuals may have an issue with the Indian mascot but, it is not showing hate towards the Indian heritage in my opinion.

Sum of ratings:2 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Undertones of guilt.

by [Stanley Cates](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 5:40 PM

Well, isn't this a lovely little box of chaos.

I don't think that the majority of people in support of using Native American figures as mascots are racists. Logically that doesn't make sense; a mascot is something glorified; it's a source of pride. To the best of my knowledge racists do not find other races as a source of pride.

I don't agree with the selective use of opinions from Native American groups. That kind of molding of situations is misplaced in any serious discussion. I find it very telling however; that these selective voices are repeated. The sports illustrated poll mentioned in the forum materials tells us that three quarters of Native Americans aren't offended by the images; or at the very least not offended enough to care; so where does the drive to push the issue even come from? Remember a few weeks back when we heard from historian David Blight about the underground railroad, he said that if every site that people claimed to be associated with the railroad actually was then the abolition of slavery would have occurred much sooner. He then describes the societal need to be associated with that particular idea. I think there's a similar case to be made here with the mascot issue. In the register guard article Symbolic Debate we hear from Katie Zimmerman; at the time a high school athlete from Oakridge; she is quoted as saying "I see it as an honor to be a warrior." However, chances are that Katie isn't a "Warrior", that is to say that on average most Americans are not Native Americans. By associating herself with this group of people she; like society with the underground railroad; is distancing herself from the atrocities against native peoples. I don't see the debate as opposing forces, more like two sides of the same coin. How do we honor and include Native Americans in this country? Admittedly that is a generalized assumption and I could be wrong regarding that particular individual. That being said I'll use myself as an example instead. Growing up I was told I was part Native American, Chippewa to be specific. It soaked in, learning about the history of the interactions between native peoples and white settlers was particularly troubling because I saw a link to myself; those were my people. As fate would have it I've recently had my genome mapped and my genetics contain precisely ZERO Native American DNA. When presented with the absolutely damning evidence; geneticists by the way, do not lie; my Grandmother absolutely insisted that they must have made a mistake, it was that deeply embedded. I don't think it's a matter of racism, or insensitivity, or offending any number of people. I think it's a matter that some people are ready to admit that as a nation what we've done in the past was wrong, and that some people are not.

With exception to cases where a tribe has expressed explicit permission to use its name and likeness in a respectful manner I believe the use of Native American images in mascots is in poor taste, and if not offensive to Native Americans, I should think it at least rather unflattering. Particularly the use of generalized quasi negative terms; Indians, redskins, braves, warriors, savages.

Sum of ratings: 3 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



An Indian mascot is a part of History

by [Cody Trimble](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 7:41 PM

I think the big problem with having an Indian mascot is that there are the people who like to cause a stir due to the choice of mascot. To me, a mascot is something to be proud of and it is used as a historical representation of the location. Majority of the time, you wouldn't see an Axeman or a Miller as a mascot in Florida just like you wouldn't see a Hurricane as a mascot in Oregon. I think a mascot is something to be celebrated and most people wouldn't know of the Indian heritage in the area if it weren't for the use of mascots. I think an Indian mascot is something that people need to understand isn't meant to be used in a racially sensitive way, but the tribes use their idea of negative representation as a way to create revenue for their tribe and make their tribe known to the general public. In my opinion, an attempt to change a mascot is an attempt to shun the Indian community in the area.

Sum of ratings: 2 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Re: An Indian mascot is a part of History

by [Cesar Rodriguez](#) - Sunday, March 8, 2015, 12:09 AM

I understand where you are coming from, and agree that mascots have historical roots. But I think the problems how the mascots are being represented for example why do the Cleveland Indians have to have a red faced mascot with a feather as their mascot logo, why not a strong native American as the mascot?

Sum of ratings: (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



The one person offended idea is ludicrous!

by [Erik Fischer](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 8:12 PM

If the majority of American Indians don't mind or even approve then I think the issue should be dropped. The statement that if one student is offended then it should be changed is offensive. There is no mascot that would please everyone. Schools and sports teams would end up only being named after their city or region, and even that could be offensive to some as noted by the controversy around Joseph Lane's stance on slavery. Someone will always find a reason to complain about anything, it is human nature. I feel like mascots are chosen for the most part to show strength, just look at Lane's mascot the Titan, and for American Indians to be chosen by so many as mascots is honoring them.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Misunderstanding of Indian Mascots

by [Tanner Olson](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 9:48 PM

I do not believe there is any "racist" nature in any of these sports mascots. If anything, it is more honorable to be remembered as such great warriors. Florida State is one of the best examples for this. They take great pride in their mascot and the unity they have as a school towards their mascot and sports teams being the Seminoles is amazing. Their chant and arm gestures may be seen as stereotypical but really the fans are showing

their unity and support as a community. I have had a huge interest in sports all my life and have never heard negative or "racist" remarks towards the mascots. I feel like even trying to bring racism into this concept is a colossal over exaggeration. If anything, these teams and schools are showing respect and honor of such an amazing race of warriors that had such a large impact on our nation's history.

Sum of ratings: 2 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Re: Misunderstanding of Indian Mascots

by [Tanner Olson](#) - Sunday, March 8, 2015, 12:38 AM

After watching the video in class Thursday of the skulls being returned to the Indian people from the massacres, I had a feeling that everything is more sensitive and held on to by the Native American people. The younger generations were sobbing over skulls of ancestors they never had even met. I feel like in many other instances different reactions would take place. This sensitive feeling makes me realize why racial issues are brought into the subject of mascots and some of the negative feelings towards school having these mascots. I feel that the sensitivity could be placed aside rather than trying to find problems within this mascot issue. I still do not believe there's anything wrong with having Indian mascots and there is no racial issue whatsoever towards these mascots.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Today's Offended Society

by [Jonathan Blake](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 10:35 PM

I believe today's society finds just about everything that they don't agree with as offensive. The Cleveland Indians have been around so long that when I see their mascot, I see the team rather than the expression of an American Indian. The Spartans, Warriors, or even the Fighting Irish are not seen by me as offensive but rather a title of history that an institution has decided to name itself. I think people look negatively when they can think more positively by these mascots as a story of history. With that being said, I can recognize how some mascots can be seen as offensive by the tribe they are named after but if the majority of that tribe or race take no offense then why is it still a hot issue?

Sum of ratings: 1 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Re: Today's Offended Society

by [Michael Silva](#) - Thursday, March 5, 2015, 11:10 AM

Agreed, it is very "in" to be offended. The loudest person in the room gets the most attention.

Sum of ratings: (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Re: Today's Offended Society

by [Cody Garrison](#) - Saturday, March 7, 2015, 4:41 PM

I agree with you 110%. Today our society dumps all the energy into things that they don't need to be dumping it into like "the mascots". As an American Indian I could not give a s*** if schools and universities use Indian culture as their mascot and school spirit foundation. Maybe we should dump that much needed money into the schools' academia and not into the reconstruction of our schools' mascot and name.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)

Mascot pride

by [Cori Ross](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 10:34 PM



After watching the ideas and reading the articles about the the controversy of whether or not having a Native American as the mascot is "offensive" or not, I have come to the conclusion that I personally don't feel offended by it. I myself am part Cherokee Indian, Irish and a jumble of a few other things. Honestly I feel that the natives should be more concerned about something like Mt. Rushmore (a bunch of white men sculpted into the black hills where the indians were forced to migrate to just to have it taken away from them because of gold) than something silly like high school mascots. You don't see wolverines getting mad that Willamette is using them as their mascot and I'm pretty sure I haven't heard any Irishmen complain that Sheldon uses a dorky little leprechaun as their mascot. Yes I agree that mascots are more like cartoon figures but I feel its more for enjoyment then to be hurtful.

Sum of ratings:2 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Re: Mascot pride

by [Michael Silva](#) - Thursday, March 5, 2015, 11:08 AM

I agree with you. Just as I said in my post I feel the anger is being misplaced when it should be aimed at actual places of problem. Such as Mount Rushmore as you said. The attention should be turned to where Indians were exploited and hurt. Thank you for your opinion as a Native american decendant.

Sum of ratings:1 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Misplaced authority on the issue

by [Kai Seltman](#) - Monday, March 2, 2015, 11:56 PM

Although I have a lot to say on the issue, I think the main point that is being disregarded here are the primary victims of this institutionalized racism. The main argument against banning the mascots is that the Indian chiefs are okay with it. Of course, their say in the matter is important, but I feel that the authority in the issue is misplaced. Correct me if I am wrong, but I am under the impression that tribal chiefs live in reservations-segregated societies in which the tribes are distanced from mainstream America. My real concern are the students of Native American descent who go to schools with mascots that are exaggerated caricatures of their ancestors. How do they process such a publicly-accepted travesty of their heritage? I, as a Japanese American, may feel embarrassed if I went to a public school with a 'Samurai Spirit' team name coupled with a caricature of a samurai. If the school claimed that it was acceptable because the Japanese government endorsed it, I would argue that the decision did not directly affect them, whereas I would have to confront the situation every time a school game took place.

It is self-serving and audacious to argue that it is acceptable because it is 'honoring' the Native Americans. The mascots were not chosen with the intent to 'honor' anyone, on the contrary, as the blog "Natives in Distress" pointed out, mascots are usually animals that are associated with ferocity and savagery. What does that then say about the choice of Native Americans as a mascot? Furthermore, glamorization of a race is not beneficial to it. For example, people have come up to me in the past with a idolized version of Japan, that of ninjas and geishas and 'bushido.' Although I personally do not find it to be offensive, and appreciate any interest in my culture, I do believe that this perception of my country's history is not rooted in fact, but a version of my country's history offered by the mainstream media. Stereotyping, whether positive or negative, does not encourage dialectical dialogue in order to gain a deeper understanding of an issue. I feel authentic discussion is especially important when it comes to Native American history, considering their painful past ad the nation-wide, centuries-long attempt to stifle their voice.

Sum of ratings:3 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)

**Concern in the wrong subject***by Jonathan Blake - Wednesday, March 4, 2015, 12:33 AM*

I agree. It seems that the state of the offense is merely over a situation that was clearly meant to bring enjoyment. Even though racism is still alive today, it has come to a point where it is socially unacceptable, which in my opinion shows no intent of racist hatred by the creation of these mascots named after historical character. I also agree that there are more important subjects that should be concerned as offensive that holds more water than a sports mascot.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Misplaced authority on the issue***by Thea Van Kessel - Wednesday, March 4, 2015, 1:20 PM*

I agree with this. Though I don't believe there are any racist intentions behind an Indian mascot, I do think it could be awkward as a Native American student to go to a school where your heritage is the mascot. Although there is definitely pride associated with a mascot, I think it could make a Native American student in a school where they are a minority very uncomfortable with the outdated representation of a Native American. I still am undecided on where I stand on the issue though because I feel that as you stated, the opinions of the chiefs are important and the only reason we should remove the Indian mascots is if it is offensive to the Native American population. However, like you said the people who it would mainly effect are those students attending the school and they may be proud to have a Native American mascot too.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Misplaced authority on the issue***by Stanley Cates - Thursday, March 5, 2015, 10:51 PM*

I agree with just about everything you've written here; in particular I enjoyed your input in regards to your own culture. However, I honestly don't believe people; the majority of people anyway; have negative intentions when they take part in things like this. I think the real underlying issue; which you touched on in a round about way; is that the proverbial "they" have taken something that isn't theirs; something special and sacred; and belittled it and put it on display for the purposes of entertainment. The idea of the practice of essentially stealing cultures is wrong, however I can't bring myself to define it as racism. Racism is intentionally hateful, I don't see the images as hateful despite them being wildly disrespectful.

Sum of ratings: 2 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Misplaced authority on the issue***by Erik Fischer - Sunday, March 8, 2015, 12:16 AM*

Except that there is no "they" or "ours" in this melting pot of a nation, even if it didn't initially melt in the West. "They" can't take culture doesn't belong to them because that assumes that the people who hold those beliefs as separate which is against the 14th Amendment. We're all Americans and have tried to assimilate everyone into one homogenous group. If one part of the population can't use another parts then minorities and immigrants wouldn't be allowed to become American and would never be allowed to fit in. The sharing of culture needs to go both ways and sometimes misunderstandings occur.

Sum of ratings: 2 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)

**Re: Misplaced authority on the issue***by [Cesar Rodriguez](#) - Sunday, March 8, 2015, 12:22 AM*

I think you hit the nail right on the head! I believe mascots breed insensitivity and misunderstanding about native people which does harm to individuals that face it every day, not the leaders from said group distant from the problem. The American Psychological Association has said that Indian mascots because harm by creating a hostile environment, the negative impact on the self-esteem of American Indian children, and is discrimination that may violate civil rights.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Indian Mascots***by [Michael Silva](#) - Thursday, March 5, 2015, 11:06 AM*

I believe that the mascots are fine. The real problem is deeper seated and is being brought out through the visual. Racism is no were near gone in the united states but I don't see the mascots as being derogatory. The mascot represent passion and combined strength and unity. I do also notice the "tyranny of the minority" as a gross overstep of an opinion. Pain is relative, just because you do not see the harm or feel the harm of past deeds does not mean that some people are not surrounded by it. Some people see the absolute horror and suffering in their grandparents eyes. What happened to the Indians was monstrous and generally unforgivable. Just as I said earlier however I think the anger is being pushed into the wrong place. The highlights students them selfless should attest to how it should be dealt with. Not parents or staff. It seems that if you ask any of the kids they will tell you they feel nothing but pride and respect for the school mascots. This should be the bottom line in my opinion.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Re: Indian Mascots***by [Stanley Cates](#) - Thursday, March 5, 2015, 10:59 PM*

I'm not quite sold on pride being the bottom line. If a school had a Jewish mascot wearing a Yamaka with exaggerated pe'ot I don't think any amount of pride from non Jewish high school students with little to no knowledge of the culture would make me feel any better about it.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**Pride***by [Jonathan Blake](#) - Friday, March 6, 2015, 8:16 PM*

I agree. There is a specific line that you can cross where it becomes racist or offensive. from my opinion, it depends on the subject or "characteristics" of that mascot.

Sum of ratings: (1) [Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)**never really thought of it***by [Alec Schauer](#) - Sunday, March 8, 2015, 12:29 AM*

It wasn't until a few months ago that I heard the controversy over renaming the Washington red skins. It wasn't in till I heard this that I really realized that that term could be derogatory towards native Americans. I feel like it being called redskins playing off a term native Americans used to be called is slightly racist, like when someone makes a remark aabout Asian people being yellow. But I don't think necessarily a mascot is racist because like many people said mascots are something people love and represents pride in their schools. I went to Sheldon and they had the fighting Irish but I never thought it

could be racist, but someone could think it stereotypes that Irish people fight a lot. There are many things people will nit pick out to complain and deem offensive it just depends on the situation and degree sometimes, and my opinion Washington redskins is racist but that is my opinion.

Sum of ratings: 1 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)



Counterargument

by [Kai Seltman](#) - Sunday, March 8, 2015, 12:54 AM

I have not replied to anyone's post directly as not to offend anyone, but I hope this post serves as a counterargument to the general consensus here that the mascot issue is 'not a big deal' and the people should 'get over it.'

Of course, I understand where people are coming from. When I read the Register-Guard article, although I was against the usage of Indian American symbols as the mascots, ultimately I felt it was 'much ado about nothing.' But as I read the rest of the information that Mr. Escobar provided for us, I realized the deeper implications of the issue. My conviction was solidified on Thursday's class, when I learnt about the heartbreaking history of Native Americans.

It is easy for people of Caucasian descent to disregard the mascot issue as a form of hysteria. But, as the blog "Natives in Distress" pointed out, would people say the same about African Americans, hispanics, or Asians? (On a side note, I feel that many have not read the blog and I recommend to do so; he makes a excellent point.) I think people discriminate against Native Americans to the extent that racism towards Native Americans isn't even considered racism. I think Native American mascots have contributed to this. Although the usage of Native Americans symbols are not intentionally or overtly racist, I argue that, as a result of the abuse of Native American symbols in American culture, we unconsciously start disregarding their humanity and start stereotyping them instead. Ask yourself this. When you think, "Native Americans" what pops into your mind? I venture to guess that it is akin to the picture attached to this post, when in reality they are just like us, just of a differing race. We don't immediately associate the term 'Japanese' with ninjas, for example. The mascots caricaturize Native Americans.

Consider the atrocious abuse the Native Americans have suffered historically. Do they not have the right to take back one of the many things we stole from them? I understand that people don't like change, and that they consider their beloved sports mascots as a sacred tradition. Is it more sacred then the long history of the Native Americans? If we changed the mascots, after initial discomfort (a natural knee-jerk reaction to any sort of change), people will quickly grow accustomed to it and go back to enjoying the games just as much as they did before.



Sum of ratings: 3 (1)

[Show parent](#) | [Edit](#) | [Split](#) | [Delete](#) | [Reply](#)

 [Moodle Docs for this page](#)

[HST 202 W15 \(Escobar Meta 31045 31046\)](#)

[LCC Home](#) | [LCC Student Help](#) | [LCC Faculty Help](#) | [Faculty Moodle Training](#) |